



PEDESTRIAN, BICYCLE & TRAFFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

Pedestrian, Bicycle & Traffic Advisory Committee

**City Hall, Council Chambers
201 S. Cortez St.
Prescott, Arizona 86303
(928)777-1130**

**Monday, August 9, 2021
9:30 a.m.**

Minutes of the Pedestrian, Bicycle & Traffic Advisory Committee held **Monday, August 9, 2021.**

Call to Order – Bill Fanelli, Chairman

Bill Fanelli called the meeting to order at 9:31 a.m.

A. Roll Call

MEMBERS	
Bill Fanelli, Chair – Present	Jim Knaup - Absent
David Fero – Present via Zoom	Michelle Schaefer, Vice Chair – Present
Kent Hart - Present	Joe Scott - Present
Vacant	Councilmember Rusing - Absent

B. Approval of Minutes from July 12, 2021 Meeting

MICHELLE SCHAEFER MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE JULY 12, 2021 MEETING; KENT HART 2ND THE MOTION, - PASSED [5-0]

C. CYMPO 2045 Plan – Vincent Gallegos

Vincent Gallegos, Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization (CYMPO) Administrator presented an overview of CYMPO, their planning strategy and purpose, and funding sources.

Kent Hart asked if CYMPO is doing the study of the intersection of SR89 and 89A and if so, can the lighting on the on-ramps be reviewed? Mr. Gallegos replied they are studying the eastbound on-ramp to Prescott Valley and would be happy to look at all ways of improving the intersection.

Joe Scott asked if the data and GIS mapping CYMPO uses comes from the County. Mr. Gallegos stated that CYMPO is separate from Yavapai County, however they have an agreement which allows the County to prepare and share GIS with CYMPO. He stated that the maps used in today’s presentation were created by a consultant.

Bill Fanelli thanked Mr. Gallegos for the regional oversight. Mr. Gallegos added that he and his staff would like to use PBTAC as a resource as it is the only formal group in the Quad City area addressing multi-modal transportation.

Mr. Hart recommended that CYMPO take a look at the trail system in Coeur d'Alene Idaho as a model of connectivity. Mr. Gallegos thanked him for the suggestion stating his planning approach is to look at other communities for elements that will work here. Public input is welcome.

Mr. Fanelli stated that the committee is aware of the SR69 widening project and asked what other projects are on the horizon? Mr. Gallegos remarked that the SR69 widening Project between Prescott Lakes Parkway (PLP) and Yavpe has been in development for 8 years to help the traffic bottle neck in this area. The project has a cost of around \$13 million dollars and will also add a center median from PLP to Heather Heights and has a multi-use path. The next project that CYMPO is hoping to recommend as a priority is the Sundog Connector that will cost somewhere in the \$30 million dollar range. Additional projects being looked at include; SR69 Corridor from SR89 to SR169, SR89A from SR89 to Glassford Hill Road and the Great Western Extension to connect Prescott Valley with Chino Valley at a price of over \$250 million.

Mr. Fanelli continued by asking about the safe driving campaign which included getting the word out about hands free driving. Mr. Gallegos provided an update and remarked that additional outreach can be done for safe highway driving and will be looked into.

D. Overview of Infrastructure Improvement Plan (IIP) Projects

Ian Mattingly, Traffic Engineer reviewed a map showing the 2018 Prescott Streets IIP Projects locations. Funding for the IIP projects is collected through impact fees and must be spent within 10 years of adoption by Council.

The locations for projects include;

- Widening SR89 through the Dells
- Phippen Trail construction between Larry Caldwell and Granite Dells Parkway
- Willow Lake Road Turn Lane Project
- Granite Creek Crossing Project – Phippen Trail
- Willow Lake Rd at Willow Creek Rd intersection
- 4-points intersection
- Willow Lake Rd at Prescott Lakes Parkway intersection (improvements complete)
- Sundog Ranch Rd at Prescott Lakes Parkway intersection
- Interconnection and optimization of signals on Willow Creek Rd in coordination with CYMPO

E. General Engineering Standards Update Review

Mr. Mattingly talked about the General Engineering Standards (GES) Article 6 revisions that are currently being reviewed by Public Works staff. The GES has 2 parts, a narrative and the standard details.

Mr. Fanelli asked when the GES will be delivered to Council. Craig Dotseth, Public Works Director remarked that once staff has revised the document, it will be rolled out to the community and YCCA for review and comment. This should take place in the next 3 months or so.

Mr. Mattingly proceeded to review standard details for rural and local residential streets as well as residential collectors, discussing the road design, parking and bike lane requirements.

Mr. Scott asked how often pavement sections are developed with parking. Mr. Mattingly answered that local streets typically include on street parking, residential collectors would be required during civil plan review as determined by staff.

Mr. Fanelli asked for discussion by the group about the current requirement of a multi-use path on one side of the road vs. bike lanes. Mr. Mattingly added that in designing streets, decisions are made based on many factors. In a perfect setting, the 4 lane roads would have bike lanes, wide sidewalks on both sides and trails. When multi-use paths are used, they should allow for 2-way travel, the revised GES will require 12 foot wide paths to accommodate this.

Mr. Fanelli asked if there are different standards for bike and pedestrian facilities on streets around schools. Mr. Mattingly replied that those standards are covered in the narrative of the GES.

Mr. Mattingly will email the GES red-lines for the committee for review before the next meeting for further discussion.

F. Upcoming Major Pedestrian Related Projects

No upcoming projects to discuss.

G. Monthly Review of Bike and Pedestrian Collisions

Collision data not available for this meeting.

H. Safety Concerns from Members

Mr. Hart asked that signal coordination on Gurley, particularly between the intersection of Sheldon (SR69/89) and Bradshaw/Rush be looked at as he has observed traffic backed up westbound at Rush over the weekend.

Mr. Hart also asked why is there no signage indicating the end of a school zone. Mr. Mattingly stated he will review the various school zone locations and bring back the findings. Officer Johnson added that end of school zone signage is not required, the enforcement area is from the "school zone" signage through the cross walk.

Mr. Fanelli remarked that there is no curb cut at the end of the multi-use path at Willow Creek Rd and Deep Well Ranch Rd roundabout.

Mr. Fanelli added that the County is chip sealing Commerce and he has asked them if they can widen the shoulder with the chip seal.

Ms. Schaefer remarked that the local mountain bike community recently hosted the Circle Trail Challenge and found the SR89 roundabout at Willow Lake Rd is difficult for bikes to maneuver.

I. Current Road Project Updates

No project updates to discuss.


J. Next Meeting

The next meeting will be held on Monday September 13, 2021.

K. Adjournment

There being no further items to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 11:02 a.m.

Respectfully Submitted by:



Carey Oberheim

Financial & Business Operations Manager



Bill Fanelli

Chairman

Date: 10-11-2021