

PRESCOTT CITY COUNCIL  
WORKSHOP  
TUESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2010  
PRESCOTT, ARIZONA

MINUTES OF THE WORKSHOP OF THE PRESCOTT CITY COUNCIL held on TUESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2010 in the COUNCIL CHAMBERS located at CITY HALL, 201 SOUTH CORTEZ STREET, Prescott, Arizona.

◆ **CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Kuykendall called the Workshop to order at 2:01 p.m.

◆ **ROLL CALL:**

PRESENT:

Mayor Kuykendall  
Councilman Blair  
Councilman Hanna  
Councilman Lamerson  
Councilwoman Linn  
Councilwoman Suttles

ABSENT:

Councilwoman Lopas

**I. PROCLAMATIONS**

- A. February 8, 2010 – 100<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Boy Scouts of America.

Councilman Blair read the proclamation and presented it to a representative of the Boy Scouts of America.

- B. September 6 – 12, 2010 – Patriotism Week.

Councilman Lamerson read the proclamation and presented it to Lt. Andy Reinhart of the Prescott Police Department.

- C. September 11, 2010 – March for Babies Day.

Councilwoman Suttles read the proclamation.

**II. PRESENTATIONS**

- A. Introduction of new businesses.

Dave Maurer, Executive Director of the Prescott Chamber of Commerce introduced a new business:

Todd Beck, Green Living Magazine, said that he was based out of Flagstaff but would be covering the Prescott area. He said that their magazine would launch on September 1, 2010 and would be focusing on living, working and playing “green.”

- B. Board / Commission Liaison reports.

See Page 4.

### III. DISCUSSION ITEMS

- A. Discussion and direction re the discontinuation of air service by Horizon Airlines from the Prescott Airport.

Airport Manager Ben Vardiman gave a PowerPoint presentation, Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof, regarding the discontinuation of air service by Horizon Airlines, which addressed the following issues:

- ▶ DISCUSSION REGARDING DISCONTINUATION OF AIR SERVICE BY HORIZON AIRLINES FROM THE PRESCOTT AIRPORT
- ▶ KEY DISCUSSION ITEMS
- ▶ ANTICIPATED BUDGET IMPACTS
- ▶ FAA CLASSIFICATION – Policy Question
- ▶ FAA CLASSIFICATION – Background Information
- ▶ FAA CLASSIFICATION – Key Considerations

Councilman Lamerson said that he thought the City had been informed that when they went to a larger plane they had to meet different requirements for safety issues. Mr. Vardiman said that was a requirement of the TSA, not the FAA.

- ▶ TSA CATEGORIZATION – Policy Question
- ▶ TSA CATEGORIZATION – Background Information
- ▶ AIRPORT BADGING PROGRAM

Councilwoman Linn said that one of the things that came up with the City’s volunteer program was the background checks required and it was great to find out that the airport could provide that service.

▶ TSA CATEGORIZATION – Key Considerations

Councilman Hanna said that the \$7,500 did not include personnel time, and asked if they had any idea how much they were saving by not doing that. Mr. Vardiman said that to meet those requirements they delayed and deferred some noncritical issues, such as auditing grant files. When they removed the badging system there would not be a savings in staff time, but it would allow them to do those other projects that were previously delayed.

▶ STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION

1. Reapply with TSA to go to a Category IV status.
2. Reapply with FAA for Class II status

Councilwoman Suttles asked how they decided which way to go. She asked if they had time to get a group of airport users together. Mayor Kuykendall said that today was for information only and the public input. There would be a lot more information to come forward.

Councilman Blair said that he would like to see the upside and downside of both of the questions.

Max Bandy, Prescott, asked how the category and class co-exist. Mr. Vardiman said that they did not; they were separate branches of the federal government and separated from each other.

Mr. Bandy asked why the City went to a Category III. Mr. Vardiman said that they had to go because Horizon was having daily flights, and they had to go to a Class I because Horizon was having daily flights in planes larger than 31 seats.

Mr. Bandy asked if they would lose the ability to go back to those categorizations and classes if they changed now. Mr. Vardiman said that they could always go back and reapply in the future.

Jay Willis, airport user and hangar owner, said that there were quite a bit of negative feelings when the Category III was applied for as many felt it was a burden. He said that if there was no significant need at this time for a Category III a lot of them felt it was useless and an unnecessary burden.

Mayor Kuykendall noted that the Airport Users Association would be having a meeting later in the evening at 7:00 p.m. at the Airport Administration Building.

B. Board / Commission Liaison reports.

At this time Mayor Kuykendall returned to Item II-B.

Councilman Lamerson said that awhile back one of his colleagues suggested that they have an item on the agenda to allow for reports to be given on the various boards and commissions of the City by the Council liaisons. He then briefly reviewed what he was involved with. He said that he was the Council liaison to the Planning and Zoning Commission, which was one of the commissions impacted by the recent changes made to the board/commission process, including the selection of chairman and vice chairman by the Council.

Councilman Lamerson said that he sat as one of the three liaisons on the Uniform Development Code Committee and they had been working on a number of issues addressing things such as codes that may not be necessary.

He said that he was also involved with the Tourism/Economic Development group and along those lines had been chairing the Water Issues Committee that was looking at the lakes and related issues. He then asked Mr. McConnell to describe some of what may be coming forward in the near future with regard to the lakes and water.

Mr. McConnell said that the City had before the Arizona Department of Water Resources, and in court, their application for modification of assured water supply. With respect to what Councilman Lamerson mentioned, they did have in effect the City's Water Management Policy which extended through the calendar year 2010. They had been saying that when the litigation was resolved that would be the point from which they formulate a long-term water policy. And, since the formulation of that long-term policy may take some time, they may need an interim policy for 2011.

He said that the long-term policy would have a couple of components in terms of resource. First would be the renewable water (or alternate water). Second would be the importation of the Big Chino water at such time as a pipeline is constructed and it becomes available. That was associated with the 2025 mandate for safe yield. They would need to create, for consideration of the Council and public, some water management scenarios.

Councilman Lamerson said that one of the questions that they had not resolved had to do with the arbitrary 80/20 distribution and he assumed that would be addressed in the long-term policy.

Mr. McConnell added that the water management policies would rely in part on certain growth assumptions which tied in with the cost sharing on meeting safe yield.

Councilwoman Suttles reported that she served on different water groups: Yavapai County Water Advisory Group (WAC), Upper Verde River Watershed Protection Coalition, and the Water Issues Committee. She said that she also served on the Hospital Board of Electors, the CYMPO Board, the Council Appointment Committee (along with Councilmen Blair and Hanna); the Uniform Development Code Committee (with Councilmen Hanna and Lamerson), the Prescott-Yavapai Enterprise Zone Commission and the Parks and Recreation Board. She said that she was pleased to be selected to serve on these boards/commissions and that if anyone had any questions, they should contact them.

Councilman Blair said that it was a pleasure to see the different people placed on different boards. He sat with Mayor Kuykendall on the Board of Adjustment and Preservation Commission, the Prescott-Yavapai Enterprise Zone Commission and the Council Appointment Committee, as well as the Water Issues Committee (with Councilwoman Suttles and Councilman Lamerson).

At this time Mayor Kuykendall recognized Judy York who was present to accept the proclamation for March for Babies which had been read earlier in the meeting. He presented the proclamation to her and Ms. York noted that the March would take place on September 1 beginning at 7:30 a.m. and if anyone would like to join them they could visit [www.marchofdimes.org](http://www.marchofdimes.org).

Councilwoman Linn said that she and Councilmen Hanna and Lamerson worked together on the Board and Commission application process and brought forth changes which were adopted. She said that they also served on the Charter Amendment Committee that reviewed the entire City Charter, with 8 of the 22 proposed changes being on the November ballot.

She said that she also serves on the CDBG Advisory Committee and served as the Council liaison to Access 13. She also met with the Centennial Committee, which will kick off in September 2011 in Prescott, and had also been working with the VIP (Volunteers in Prescott) through the HR department of the City.

Councilman Hanna said that he served on the Prescott-Yavapai Enterprise Zone Commission with Councilwoman Suttles and Councilman Blair, and also the Council Appointment Committee with them.

He also served on the Uniform Development Committee which was a challenging process. He said that he had always believed that the City interfered too much with the private sector so they were trying to revise some of the codes and looking at ways to make everyone's lives easier.

Mayor Kuykendall thanked all of them for their service on the many boards and commissions. He said that they started out with one-third more than they presently have, but they still have quite a few. He said that he was also involved with the Preservation Commission which met when there was a need on issues affecting the historic area. He also represented the City on the Public Safety Retirement Board as well as Tourism and Economic Development. He said that he was also involved with NACOG which usually met in Flagstaff.

B. Discussion and direction re water/wastewater rate increase.

Mr. Nietupski said that in January of 2010 Dan Jackson of economists.com reviewed his updated study on the water and wastewater rates. At that time the Council took no action to change the rates. Additionally, the moratorium on impact fees took place retroactive to June 29, 2009 through 2012.

He said that there were serious needs in the utility systems, both water and wastewater, with capacity deficiencies in pipeline sizes, storage and pumping in the treatment processes for wastewater. He said that during the January presentation Mr. Jackson provided an analysis on four scenarios with most discussion centered on Scenario #2 which included water and sewer rate increases, but did not include the Big Chino project.

He said that the total for Scenario #2 was around \$254 million and centered on a program from 2010 to 2019. The rates that were generated from Scenario #2 were identified on the sheet provided. Because they did not initiate the rates proposed in January, the rates the City was currently using did not fund the needed projects and staff recommended that the Council move ahead with a rate study update and proceed with the process.

One of the options was to look at the program over a shorter duration and reduce the amount of projects. Staff would be back in the future to look at additional projects that were still necessary to improve the utility systems to meet the needs of the community.

They had a situation in the wastewater treatment that put the City in a precarious position. If they did not implement improvements to their treatment facilities, particularly at Airport and Sundog, they faced serious challenges to provide services in the future. The City could be faced with

a situation of not having capacity. Every time a plat was approved, capacity assurance was required by the Department of Environmental Quality. A professional engineer looked at the system and certified that the City had the capacity to treat the wastewater that would be generated by that project. They were at a point where the City could no longer assure additional capacity at the airport.

In the near future, when development came back, the City would not be able to approve plats. The City was at 95% capacity to treat wastewater at the airport plant. If they were unable to continue to expand that facility and could not treat the waste stream, they could be in a position where building permits might fall into a moratorium.

If the City could not treat the wastewater, they would face issues with ADEQ with respect to that issue which could be costly. He noted that it was a serious situation.

Councilwoman Suttles asked if they could look at Mr. Jackson's rate increase information, or if they would have to do another study. Mr. Nietupski said that the study should be updated. Councilwoman Suttles asked how much that would cost. Mr. Nietupski said that it would be around \$20,000.

Councilwoman Suttles said that they did not have a lot of choices. She was hoping that the public would understand where they were with this. She would like to see three to five top projects that staff would like to do with water and sewer.

Mr. Norwood said that they could do that. He said that there was a Capital Improvement Plan and that they realized that times were different than when the plan was developed. Some of these projects could be moved out. They have identified those projects that were critical. They were tied to road projects that were coming up and the wastewater plant.

Councilwoman Suttles said that they get lost in the number of projects that they wanted to do. She said that it would be easier for the public to see what had to be done first and then go from there.

Councilman Lamerson noted that they were talking about standards that were set by someone above and beyond their capability. It looked to him like the City was compromised whether anyone moved there or not. There were some things they had to do regardless of new development, because the water and sewer lines were old and starting to fall apart. They could not depend on someone new moving there to pay to fix those things. There were basic services that had to be provided.

Councilman Hanna said that he had been asked why they wanted to raise rates. The City had to maintain what they had. People wanted to move here but wanted the rates to stay the same. That was not the way it worked. If the City was going to maintain the system they had to do something. None of them wanted higher rates, but they had to do it.

He asked Mr. Nietupski where the City fell in the category with comparable communities of their size. Mr. Nietupski noted that from Mr. Jackson's presentation, for the kind of city Prescott was, concerning their resources and the way they must produce and supply water, there were some more costly and some a little less. For the kind of city Prescott was, they were where they needed to be.

He said that Mr. Jackson had pointed out that rates were going to increase for water and wastewater systems throughout Arizona. There were costs that the City would incur for production, electricity and employee costs.

Councilwoman Linn agreed that there was a public health and safety need. There were a lot of implications if they did not do something. She noted that looking at that chart from April 2010 and 2012 to 2013 the rates would go up \$11.50. That was the highest per month increase. It was an average of \$5.50 a month per year.

Mayor Kuykendall said that they knew this in January. It was the current Council that chose to get through the summer and when the winter got here, when the usage was lower, they would look at it again. They had embarked on an expansion and improvement program for the roads. In order to bring some of the streets up to standard, they had to replace water and sewer lines. One thing that may be in the City's favor was that some of the consultant's projections may be lower now than last time.

He had been talking with a major consumer that morning who was concerned about his bill going up. Volume was what brought in money. They had less use of water. The City's income was less than they were used to but their costs had been flat. None of them want it but they want the faucet to work.

Mr. Norwood said that the budget they just approved reflected a rate increase.

Councilwoman Suttles noted that they had looked at the high user rates and that they would now have to look at the lower users. Mr. Norwood said that their recommendation was going to be getting the bulk of the rate payers. They had been focused on the high water users and developing an inverted tier to the rates, so the more they used, the more

they paid. They have tapped that as much as possible; now to get the money they needed for the projects, they would need to look at the base rates and 85% of the users.

Mr. Hanna said that he did not understand why they had to do another study. Mr. Nietupski said that the study justified the rate increase.

Mr. Norwood said that before they did any type of a study, they would look at it internally to prevent added costs. Councilman Hanna said that it did not make any sense and that if it needed to be justified to the Council, he did not think they had to do that. He felt that the public also understood that there needed to be an increase.

Councilwoman Suttles said that they had been studied to death and had reports and back up reports.

Councilman Lamerson said that they did not need a study at that time. He asked staff to bring them the list of things that they needed to do.

Daniel Matsen said that he was not happy with how high his water bill was, but he would rather have it done soon and a little bit, instead of waiting and have a huge increase.

C. Discussion and direction re Granite Creek Park gate access.

Ms. Hadley noted that currently there was a fence that divided the Depot Market Place and Granite Creek Park. Over the past few months merchants and visitors to the Marketplace wanted the gate closed and the park and trail users and some residents wanted the gate open. Staff went back and looked at the supporting documents when the Depot Marketplace was first rezoned. There were some conditions to the rezoning. After having Legal look at those they did not feel that any of the conditions mandated that it be opened or shut.

They checked with the departments who were most affected: Parks & Recreation, Police and Fire. When Parks & Recreation closed the gate, they had people take it off its hinges. The City then welded the hinges and put locks on the gate. They then dug under the gate. Currently it was open. The Fire Department had not seen a tremendous change when the gate was closed. The Police Department saw a tremendous decrease in calls for service when the gate was closed. The Code Enforcement department did a survey of retailers closest to the gate. Of those they talked to - eight wanted to keep it locked, one wanted it open and one no opinion.

She read the legal interpretation of the language on the plat. The City had the right to lock the gate, open the gate, or abandon the easement.

Councilman Hanna said that if the majority of the merchants in the area wanted it locked, it needed to be locked. He did not believe that the trail users and walkers were the ones who dug under the fence or the ones who cut the lock. There was an element in the park that did that, and those are the ones that Police Department dealt with. He had gotten a number of calls, and personal contacts made, from people that did not use the park because of the element of people in the park. If the Council can get them out by doing this, they should.

Daniel Matsen said that having access to the stores was very useful. There was an element that was a problem. He thought that they should be aggressively prosecuted if they broke the law. Those consuming alcohol were the biggest problem. The vast majority of the homeless people were just enjoying the park, and they had to be somewhere. There were probably only a dozen people causing the problem. The gate access was very useful to a lot of people.

Councilman Hanna asked if walking 300 feet was that much out of the way. Mr. Matsen said that to some people that was a problem, because the ground was not as level as the path. He said that it would be just as easy for the criminal element to walk the 300 feet. He noted that the park was also closed for a considerable amount of time which may have skewed the statistics.

Ann Alexander, a resident near the park, said that she used the park quite often. She was also a trail user and really enjoyed the privilege of having such a beautiful place. She did not understand the rationale of closing the gate and making a citizen walk to the street, back and around a difficult access, to buy fried chicken to go to the park for a picnic. She wanted proof that there were vagrants pillaging the businesses. She also asked what the advantage of open gates was to the vagrants who were pillaging businesses. She did not think that closing the gate was a graceful way of treating the citizens.

Mr. Smith, Manager of Albertsons, noted that there was a huge concern at the store as well as with others in the complex for the safety of customers and associates. The element adds costs to the store with the theft, damages, and the constant cleaning up in front of the store. They believed that closing of the gate was the best thing for the center.

Mayor Kuykendall asked why an easement was placed there. Ms. Hadley noted that it was a utility easement and emergency access. Mayor Kuykendall said that it would not be a City easement if it were a utility

easement. Ms. Hadley said that it was given to the City in a nonexclusive manner.

Mr. Podracky said that the City of Prescott owned the easement. One of the options was to abandon the easement back to the property owner.

Mayor Kuykendall asked if the City of Prescott did that, and the property owner put a fence or gate up, why that would not be a logical conclusion. Most shopping centers had a wall around the complete center. He asked why there needed to be an easement and gate there.

Mr. Norwood said that there were no utilities in there at that time. Mayor Kuykendall said that they should abandon the easement and let the shopping center owner do what they wanted with their property. They could post it as no trespassing.

Mr. White said that a fence with no access would be the best way to go. Mayor Kuykendall said the tenants should dictate what the property owner did with the property.

Mr. Reinhardt said that they had seen a big decrease in crime. By closing the gate, he was not sure there would be a trespassing issue because both sides would be open to anyone with the right to be there, unless it was posted no trespassing.

Councilwoman Linn said that there was only one part of that property that had signage. There was no signage except M&I Bank, which had no overnight parking. It had to be posted so they could prosecute.

Councilwoman Suttles said that there would still be a way to get in behind Starbuck's.

Councilman Blair said that he was a supervisor in that park in 1979. There was not an access that came off of Montezuma Street that entered the park because it was not developed. The trail was a jogging trail when it first went in. The only access was Sixth Street. He said that gate was put in for access until it was fully developed. There was a trailhead on Montezuma Street, 300 feet away from the gate. There was a greenway trails trailhead at the restaurant, at the monument across the street. There were six different access points to the park. If it was so difficult to make it safe for the merchants, they should tear the whole fence down. The people at the Depot Marketplace do not pay for people to park in the parking spots to go to the park. They pay for the businesses to make money. If someone wants to use the park, they could go around to the sidewalk. The gate needs to go away.

Councilman Lamerson said that they did not need an easement there because there were no utilities. Ms. Hadley said that she could only refer back to the plat language that stated that it was a nonexclusive access to the City of Prescott for public/pedestrian ingress and egress. It did not mean they had to have it open.

Councilman Lamerson asked what the public ingress and egress would be to. Ms. Hadley said that it was between the two properties. Councilman Lamerson said that if there were no fence, they would not have the same ability to go from one property to the next. He said that they should give them back the property.

Ms. Hadley said they if they abandon it back to the LLC it then would become theirs and they had the right to take the fence down or keep the gate open.

Councilman Blair said that the intention was for that gate to go away.

Councilman Hanna said that they should give it back to the LLC. People needed to understand that when they start losing a lot of merchandise the prices go up.

Lisa Barnes, Prescott Alternative Transportation, noted that if they were saying the only way to get to the park was the gate, she did not know it existed and it was not easily accessible to bicyclists. Now they were trapping in the trails. If they were saying that the best bike access was across the street, then it needed to have a better entrance and exit. Councilwoman Linn asked what about where it came up on Montezuma. Ms. Barnes said that it was not easily accessible to bicyclists.

Councilman Blair said that it was four feet wide on each side of the road with hard pan crushed granite. Ms. Barnes said that if someone was riding down Montezuma, she wondered where they would get up to the trail. Councilwoman Linn said that if they went to the Staples where the gate was, that would not be good for a bicyclist and was not ADA accessible.

Ms. Barnes said that if they were on the trail from the Dexter neighborhood, it was easily identified. According to the ordinance, they needed to mark the entrance to the park better for pedestrians and add bicyclist access as well.

She also noted that in the West Granite Creek Park Master Plan, a priority was that the greenways trail would have an easy, nonmotorized access to businesses. She said that it was a problem. If they were getting rid of the most easily identifiable access point, then they needed to solve the

problem of bikes and pedestrians accessing the businesses. Councilman Hanna agreed and said that there needed to be more signs.

Ms. Barnes said that they could not deny access to pedestrians and bikers because the trails had been built to be used as a corridor. She said that crime had been down in the City the past five years and felt that the report may have been misleading and unfair. Councilwoman Linn said that crime went up when the gate was opened. She said that Mayor Kuykendall had put a task force together and they were addressing the issue.

Ms. Barnes said that the issue was beyond a gate. It was a series of problems that needed a solution.

Jim Knapp, 200 Parker Road, also owned business property within ½ blocks of Granite Creek Park. He had been familiar with the park for over 35 years. As changes had come to the park they had all brought light to the park. The idea was that sunshine was the best disinfectant. He felt that the move would be going backwards. Back when the park horse went in, it increased the use of the park. Back when YCC put in the rail gates it increased attractiveness of the park. When the bridge was put in, it increased the visibility of park and the number of good people that went there. Each time it drove away the undesirable element. Closing the gate may create a better place for the criminal element to hide out if the access was more limited

There were murders of transients by the trestle bridge. The more connectivity and the more people were allowed to go through there, the less the undesirable element would find it desirable. He would think that the emergency services would like it there so they could zoom in on them. He had personally witnessed crimes including stabbings that John Phillips witnessed. He wanted it to be as opened as it could be. Blocking off access to the park would not do that.

Bill Rice said he was a Dexter Neighborhood resident who lived on the far west end of Madison Street. He suggested that either the police could lock the gate at 6 p.m. and open at 6 a.m., or put a stile in it. Mayor Kuykendall asked if crime only happened between 6 a.m. and 6 p.m.

Mr. Rice said that it did not, but he thought that crime flourished in the dark. He did not think that a locked gate was appropriate to have in *everyone's hometown*. A lot of his neighbors use that shopping area. For some of the older people it was not very easy for them to go all the way down to Montezuma.

Mayor Kuykendall asked what would have happened if when the center was built they had put a 6 foot wall all the way around it. Mr. Rice said that no one would shop there from his neighborhood.

Councilman Lamerson asked Mr. Podracky why they did not just give the easement back to the property owners and let them deal with it. Mr. Podracky said that it was one of the options.

Councilman Blair said that he would like Ms. Horton and Mr. Legler to look at the access point off of Montezuma. It was clear that the bushes needed to be trimmed and the Park needed to be signed for bike and pedestrian access. Going northbound needed to be looked at and he wanted a report on that.

D. Discussion and direction re Council meeting schedule.

Councilwoman Suttles showed a schedule that Ms. Burke and the City Manager had devised. Mayor Kuykendall noted that Councilman Blair wanted to change the 3<sup>rd</sup> Tuesday to the 1<sup>st</sup> Tuesday. Councilman Blair said that it would make sense that the Chamber would give there presentations of new businesses at the first of the month as well as the proclamations and presentations and then leave everything else the same.

Mr. Norwood asked him if he was saying that they should have a meeting every Tuesday, or that the third Tuesday would be optional. Councilman Blair agreed with the second option.

Ms. Burke asked him if he wanted the Boards and Commission reports every month. Councilman Blair said as needed. Ms. Burke asked if someone would let her know when it was needed. Councilwoman Suttles said that if they had something to report, they would get to her in time to have it on the agenda.

Ms. Burke noted that in the Rules of Procedure that the Council adopted, it stated the process to follow when someone wanted to speak under public comment. At the moment, it was on the second and fourth Tuesday. She suggested that the last rule would allow the Council to suspend a rule and thought that they should suspend the rule until the end of the year.

Mayor Kuykendall asked if they needed to give instruction to staff on any of the items.

Mr. Norwood said that if they amended the plat or abandoned the easement, it would require Council action. The Council wanted it on the

next agenda. Mr. Norwood said that they would have the gate surveyed. He thought that it was on City property.

Councilwoman Suttles asked if they would be having another workshop on the wastewater issues. Mr. Norwood said that they would bring it back to them with the five priority projects and the rates needed to implement them.

Councilman Lamerson asked them to look at the projects with respect to what they were going to earn the following year.

#### **IV. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to be discussed, the Prescott City Council Workshop of August 17, 2010, adjourned at 4:24 p.m.

---

MARLIN KUYKENDALL, Mayor

ATTEST:

---

ELIZABETH A. BURKE, City Clerk