

PRESCOTT CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR STUDY SESSION
TUESDAY, JULY 7, 2009
PRESCOTT, ARIZONA

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR STUDY SESSION OF THE PRESCOTT CITY COUNCIL
held on TUESDAY, JULY 7, 2009 in the COUNCIL CHAMBERS located at CITY HALL
201 SOUTH CORTEZ STREET, Prescott, Arizona.

◆ **CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Wilson called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m.

◆ **INTRODUCTIONS**

◆ **INVOCATION:** Reverend Julia McKenna, Spiritual Architect

Reverend McKenna gave the invocation.

◆ **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:** Councilwoman Lopas

Councilwoman Lopas led the Council and audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

◆ **ROLL CALL:**

PRESENT:

Mayor Wilson
Councilman Bell
Councilman Lamerson
Councilwoman Lopas
Councilman Luzius
Councilman Roecker
Councilwoman Suttles

ABSENT:

None

◆ **SUMMARY OF CURRENT OR RECENT EVENTS**

City Manager Norwood thanked the Mayor and Council for funding the great 4th of July picnic over weekend, noting that it was funded through bed tax. He gave a big thanks to staff that worked and put in a lot of time and effort, including many departments; he believe it was definitely the best attended and one of the better celebrations to date. A great job to all involved.

I. DISCUSSION ITEMS

- A. Discussion/direction on City of Prescott Resolutions to be presented to the Resolutions Committee of the League of Arizona Cities and Towns.

Deputy City Manager McConnell presented on behalf of Connie Tucker who is the City's primary point of contact for Legislative issues, as she was not feeling well today.

He said that the League of Arizona Cities and Towns meets annually to review draft resolutions. The Prescott resolutions include three, which were adopted last year and the versions are unchanged, and two new ones, resulting from recent discussions regarding elections.

The City of Prescott has been asked to co-sponsor resolutions from other cities. They are all draft and copies are in the Council Agenda packet today, as well as available on line.

He suggested that as they briefly review the resolutions perhaps the easiest way would be to hear any objections Council may have to them. He said that since it was a study session next week they could configure the item to be voted upon in whatever version the Council wants.

Mr. McConnell reviewed the resolutions initiated by Prescott. The City of Yuma has agreed to co-sponsor the third resolution initiated by Prescott. The two new Prescott resolutions are identified in the packets as number four and number five.

Mayor Jack Wilson stated that the last two resolutions are a direct result of Council requests.

Councilman Bell asked Mr. McConnell if they had any cosponsors on Resolutions #1 & #2. Mr. McConnell stated that prior to the meeting he was informed that Prescott Valley has indicated support for co-sponsoring all of the City of Prescott's resolutions.

Councilwoman Suttles noted that three resolutions were brought up but did not make it through the house. With regard to #4 and #5, she asked if they come back to them next year or if they were going to take that on their own and do something with it if the League cannot do anything.

Mr. McConnell stated that option was available. The way the system is set up any municipality can propose a resolution or something for the legislative session if there is co-sponsor. It still needs to pass the League and then can be sponsored and introduced to the house and senate. If it

does not work out as happened to 1, 2 and 3, they will have to re-evaluate their options.

Councilwoman Suttles asked Councilman Bell if he knew how many times the resolution regarding the professional services has gone through. Councilman Bell believed this was the third time. Councilwoman Suttles stated that support does not appear to be evident. Councilman Bell stated that Representative Tobin did support that resolution, and it went as far as the Commerce Committee, but the Chairman of the Commerce Committee struck it from the agenda.

Councilman Luzius said he had no objection to any of the resolutions and specifically wanted to thank the various municipalities that co-sponsored the resolutions.

Mr. McConnell presented the second group of resolutions, which have been generated by other municipalities. A request for co-sponsorship for their resolutions has been made. The City of Yuma has requested co-sponsorship for two resolutions. The first would allow Cities and Towns the option to publish notices, agendas and other mandated publications on an official website in lieu of printing. This particular proposal was discussed during the most recent legislative session. It did not get out of committee. The second Yuma proposal would allow Cities and Towns the authority to provide emergency ambulance transportation services within their jurisdiction.

Mayor Wilson commented on the second resolution from Yuma regarding the certificate of necessity. He said he had talked with Darrell Willis, Emergency Services Director, as well as the union through J.P. Vicente, and they both support the resolution.

Councilman Luzius stated for the record that he cannot support the first resolution. The second resolution he does support.

Mr. McConnell stated that there are four resolutions from the City of Flagstaff, and he went on to review all four of the resolutions.

Councilman Lamerson asked to focus more in depth on a couple of the resolutions. With the State's budget problems, the City's budget problems currently he will find it very difficult to support any resolution that would entail asking the State or municipalities for more money for certain issues. Councilman Luzius expressed a desire for more information as well.

Mr. McConnell presented the Oro Valley resolutions which they were requesting co-sponsorship on. This resolution would support the Arizona Heritage Fund and refrain from diverting its funds in future years.

Mayor Wilson stated that the Heritage Fund is funded by the Lottery to his knowledge. Mr. Luzius stated that he would be supportive of this resolution.

Mr. Bell stated that is important, knowing that they are facing the Arizona Centennial coming up in 2012. Mayor Wilson stated that it appears they have a general level of support on this one. Mr. Lamerson reiterated his past comment.

Mr. McConnell presented the proposal by the City of Sierra Vista. This would develop legislation that allows greater flexibility in annexing County islands. Prescott does not really have this problem, such as Sierra Vista. Although the City of Prescott might sponsor this, there might be a better co-sponsor around the State for this. He said they effectively do not have a dog in this fight.

Councilman Roecker asked for further explanation of the issue and asked if Forbing Park would be a County Island for the City of Prescott. Mr. McConnell explained that the resolution has to do with property owner majority and definitions. Tom Guice noted that a property must be completely surrounded by the jurisdiction to be an island, and Forbing Park is not completely surrounded.

Mr. McConnell reviewed the resolution from Sedona pertaining to State support for conservation protection of open space and other natural resources as value added to the current and best use value test of State trust land transactions. Effectively what is being suggested is that if there is State land, that the value of the adjacent lands might be enhanced by the existence of State land, which remain undeveloped.

Mayor Wilson noted that he spoke on this issue at the last quarterly meeting of Yavapai County Mayors and Managers as this was a suggestion to modify the evaluation approach on State Trust Lands, which he believed will be a very tough sale. He said that he was personally opposed to this one.

Councilwoman Lopas felt this was jumping the gun a little bit. They just got a brand new State Trust Land Commissioner, and do not know what her plans are, and she was instrumental in the growing smarter plans, and had been a board member for Trust for Public Land. She is very educated in open space. She would like to see her, before they go in; tell more of

what she has to say first. Mayor Wilson stated it did not appear that there was a lot of support by Council.

Mr. McConnell felt the more prudent approach would be overall State land reform, as pointed out, rather than going at it piecemeal.

Mr. Bell asked to go back to resolution #2 for the City of Yuma. He questioned if in fact the legislature gave them authority to transport, in an emergency situation when they do not have the equipment to do that, and asked what the next move would be. Chief Willis stated that would simply be allowing municipalities to have the option to get into the ambulance business if they wanted to. Yuma is actively pursuing this. They want to take it ambulance business there.

Mr. Bell stated that the way it is worded here talks about it only being an emergency. Chief Willis stated he believed the intent would be to transport emergency patients – not to get into the business of transporting from nursing home to hospital or inter-facility transfers; basically emergency incidents where 9-1-1 is called and the patient is transported.

Mr. Bell questioned if the City would need to get equipment in order to do this. Mayor Wilson stated that it was his understanding that this would be an overall benefit to the citizens across the City by at least giving an option to them.

Mr. Lamerson asked if such a thing were to take place, would the fact that 80-85% calls to fire have to do with medical calls be a reason. Chief Willis stated that the statistic is 65% for the City of Prescott.

Mr. Lamerson questioned changes in budgetary request if the City needs more equipment. Further he asked if this would mean a revamping of the Fire Department. Chief Willis stated that it would take Council direction to pursue this option in the future, as the entire fire department model would change.

Councilman Luzius requested that this entire issue be placed on the agenda for further discussion next week.

Michael Allen Peters, 640 West Lee Blvd., made suggestions regarding Resolution # 4 and #5 wherein he believed resolutions do not need to be submitted as the power is with the City Attorney's office and is authorized under the existing statutes to clarify what the duties of the City Clerk are. He further stated that the statute could be broadened by the Mayor and City Council under the direction of the City Attorney.

On resolution #5, Mr. Peters stated that he feels it would be a waste of time and money to create some independent board to make sure they are neutral. Issues for the court are left to determining whether someone is a proper resident of the candidate. Mr. Peters went on to state his specific opinions regarding how this should be done.

Mayor Wilson questioned Gary Kidd regarding the validity of what Mr. Peters had brought forward. Mr. Peters' suggestions would require a change in the City Charter in Mr. Kidd's opinion.

Mr. Lamerson asked that we get a Legislative interpretation on what a municipality is enabled to do at next week's Council Meeting. Mr. Kidd stated that a Legislator would need to request the formalized second opinion by Legislative Council.

Councilwoman Suttles asked that Items 4 and 5 be pulled from the consent agenda.

B. Commission on Aging:

1. Adoption of Resolution No. 3977-1003 – A resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of Prescott, Yavapai County, Arizona, authorizing the creation of a Commission on Aging as a standing committee of the City of Prescott.
2. Appointment of initial members to the Commission on Aging.

Al Carlow, Chairman of the 2050 Committee on Aging gave a PowerPoint presentation on their proposal for the City to create a Commission on Aging which addressed:

- ▶ SETTING THE STAGE
- ▶ COMMISSION ON AGING OVERVIEW
- ▶ PRELIMINARY MISSION
- ▶ COMMISSION ON AGING (RESOLUTION)
- ▶ PRELIMINARY COMMISSION APPROACH
- ▶ PRESCOTT SENIOR NEED CATEGORIES
- ▶ RECOMMENDED COMMISSION MEMBERS

Audrey Formosa stated that she has been working on this issue for over one year. She said the project first began after talking with many people about a commission on aging and received very positive response. Written recommendations were received from Duane Kirby, who is a Councilmember of Cottonwood (Cottonwood

has a Commission on Aging currently). Another recommendation was received from Dean Garvey, of Yavapai College. She went on to review his letter of recommendation in detail as well as several other recommendations from other commissions on aging.

She said that at the Prescott 2050 Visioning Committee open house 45 of the 65 surveys filled out indicated that citizens were in favor of a commission on aging.

Councilwoman Suttles thanked the committee for their presentation and noted that this is the first presentation the Council has seen from the 2050 committee. She then asked to have this item pulled from the Consent Agenda, noting that instead of a commission, perhaps they could begin with a volunteer group.

Mayor Wilson stated that this commission would not cost the City any money or staff time.

Councilwoman Suttles questioned where the group would meet. She further stated that she does not see this as something that is City driven.

Councilwoman Lopas stated that she is glad this group has kept moving past the 2050 Committee and she believes there is a disconnect with generations. She has seen the community be reactive, rather than proactive. She believes this is looking to the future and she would like to see it go forward. Although she was concerned about staff time, she would like to see it go forward.

Councilman Luzius stated that he fully supports this effort.

Councilman Roecker stated he is getting perplexed with how these committees would be approached. It was his understanding that in March or April the Council would get an overall view of all the different commissions involved in the 2050 Group, and then they could go through those, analyze them and see how they fit together, pick the ones that they thought might be the best to proceed with. He questioned why they are looking at one general area rather than the entire report from the 2050 Group.

Councilman Lamerson thanked the committee for the presentation and the work they have done over the past year. He stated he believes that aging begins at conception and stops at death. Similar to Councilman Roecker, it seems like they are asking for an advocacy group for one particular group of people. He said that he

has always been a proponent of good basic services because they address all age brackets. He was concerned that the community was being asked to set up an advocacy council for one particular group and he does not believe that government should be involved in advocacy groups.

Mayor Wilson noted some key words that were spoken during the presentation that he related to, one being intergenerational approach that they have here in Prescott. When he was in the Army in Germany – in the pubs, there were kids, fathers, grandfathers sitting in the same place and he feels like that is where they need to get to as a community. They have a large population over 65 years old and a number of those are on the Council and are representative of that population in general. The commission on aging should be taken seriously in his opinion. Mayor Wilson stated that the commission would bring all recommendations to the Council, as they would have no power to make statutes.

Councilwoman Lopas had a question for Chief Willis regarding the number of calls the fire department gets which are for elderly medical calls. Chief Willis stated they respond to a lot of nursing home calls to assist in assisted care living. A large percentage of medical calls are for probably 55 and older people. Ms. Lopas feels that this commission on aging could help essential services by looking at the numbers and determining whether they need more EMT/firefighters out there.

Ms. Formosa stated that she has been privileged to see many of the commissions on aging in action. She believes they are long overdue for this. Their main duty is to advise the City Council and it is up to them to determine whether or not to take their advice. The commission's first goal would be go out to the community and find out what they want. That information would be correlated to determine which direction to go in.

Councilman Lamerson asked what the proposed number of people are proposed to be on this commission between the ages of 1-20 and 20-40.

Ms. Formosa stated that the majority of the proposed commission members are in their 40s. She and Dr. Matthias are probably the oldest. Their desire is to get a good cross-section of ages. Being a caregiver is a problem and involves the younger group who will need to understand the dynamics of aging. Their hope is to deal with all ages of citizens.

Councilwoman Suttles questioned the commission being started as a volunteer group. She asked what exactly is expected from the City for their commission as they progress along. The commission would still need to come to the Council to give recommendations. She said she was concerned that the commission would feel their recommendations should be taken on by the Council in a positive manner as a rule. She wonders what will happen when a negative response comes about.

Ms. Formosa stated that as a commission they would be giving advice as an association and that they are committed to performing under the open meeting laws and their hope is to be listened to a little more.

Councilwoman Lopas stated that her belief is that this has been a volunteer group over the last year. She stated the AmeriCorps Vista program has been working at getting some of the retiree backgrounds into their program and asked if someone from Vista could be an advisor to this group.

Councilman Roecker asked about the survey questions and if they are available for the Council to see. He felt that perhaps having younger people going into the Adult Center would be helpful for the older folks. Ms. Formosa stated that anyone who pays the yearly membership fee of \$50 can use the Adult Center.

Mr. John Hoagland, 439 S. Cortez, said he is in the non-medical end of the home care business and has experience in this area. He stated that Prescott has more of an older population than much of the Country. He stated that folks who move to Prescott are doing a lot of research prior to moving to Prescott. Many of these folks have a problem finding a physician. He feels that generally speaking the Prescott population is aware of what services are available before they move here. Mr. Hoagland stated he agrees that there is a need, but does not feel more regulations are in order. He further stated that information and transportation are the two biggest issues for elderly in Prescott and the commission should focus on those two areas.

Mr. Ed Parry, 228 Park Avenue, stated that as an older person he knows that all age groups are very interested and will appreciate the thoughtful discussions this commission would provide.

Councilman Lamerson requested that this item not be placed on the consent agenda.

1. Adoption of Resolution No. 3977-1003 – A resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of Prescott, Yavapai County, Arizona, authorizing the creation of a Commission on Aging as a standing committee of the City of Prescott.
 2. Appointment of initial members to the Commission on Aging.
- C. Purchase of two side-load refuse trucks with extended warranty from Trucks West of Phoenix in the amount of \$455,700.00.

Chad McDowell presented this agenda item for the purchase of two side-load garbage trucks, stating that staff requests the purchase of these trucks using the last bidding process, which produced the best price. Today they were contacted by the City of Glendale requesting to piggy-back off Prescott's bid.

He said that these are replacement vehicles, and they were looking at about \$40,000 savings per truck.

Councilman Lamerson questioned the longevity of each truck. Mr. McDowell discussed the use of the trucks and how they are used for back-up after years of wear. Councilman Lamerson asked what they typically get for one of these trucks when it goes to auction. Mr. McDowell stated that they generally get about \$50,000.

Councilman Bell stated that he saw a broken down garbage truck on Willow Creek road earlier in the week so he is convinced of the need for these trucks to be purchased.

- D. Adoption of Ordinance No. 4707-1002 – An ordinance of the Mayor and Council of the City of Prescott, Yavapai County, Arizona, amending the Prescott City Code by Amending Title IV, Chapter 4-12 regarding Cable Television Systems.

Assistant City Attorney Tom Lloyd presented agenda item D regarding licensing cable television carriers in the City of Prescott. Since the last licensing agreement to a cable company in Prescott there have been changes in the Federal and State legislation that authorizes the City more clearly. Without this ordinance the City would be unable to restrict unlicensed carriers from operating within the City.

Councilwoman Suttles questioned City Manager Norwood regarding the contract and how the City funds Access 13. Mr. Norwood responded that this item is not the actual franchise agreement and that item would actually come before Council next month. She further questioned if this is anything new as an agreement or it was simply an extension. Mr. Norwood stated that would be discussed when the actual contract renewal comes before Council next month.

Councilman Lamerson noticed that the ordinance also prohibits cable from operating on private land.

Mr. Lloyd stated that there was not an ordinance in the past at all. In all likelihood a cable operator could not have operated in Prescott in the past without being on private land. He said that they are updating the ordinance to meet Federal and State laws.

Councilman Lamerson said it appears that they are intruding on the private property rights of the property owners. Mr. Lloyd answered that they are not limiting the use of the property, but requiring a license for this particular use.

Mr. Lloyd further explained that although there is another authority under federal regulations to regulate customer service, this is not an ordinance that takes on any of those regulatory powers. Councilman Lamerson does not agree with the private property restrictions in the ordinance.

Michael Allen Peters, 640 W. Lee, Blvd., stated that he believes paragraph C is too vague. He specifically asked what percentage of the fees paid go directly to the City. Mr. Lloyd stated that he believes it is 5% as proposed. Mr. Peters also feels the penalty portion of the ordinance in paragraph F and D is too vague as it states the penalty is a misdemeanor and he feels it should be enumerated what the penalty would be. He feels the enforcement of the penalty should be looked at under Section 18 Article 2 of the AZ Constitution.

City Attorney Kidd stated that Mr. Lloyd has spent a considerable amount of time studying this ordinance; their existing ordinance is out of date. Other Arizona cities' ordinances were reviewed. Mr. Kidd stated that the ordinance is not intended to work on its own but in conjunction with the license agreement, which is a lot more detailed.

- D. Public Hearing and adoption of Resolution No. 3976-1002 – A resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of Prescott, Yavapai County, Arizona, proposing an extension of the Alternative Local Expenditure Limitation.

Finance Director Mark Woodfill presented the proposed extension of the Expenditure Limitation to the voters or Home-Rule option.

Councilwoman Suttles stated that if this resolution does not pass the Council and citizens should realize that the City will be limited in what they can do.

Mayor Wilson stated that the message is local control versus state control.

Councilman Lamerson stated that it looks as though the projected revenues are about 30% lower than in the past, and that is directly attributed to the 30% that they are anticipating and not collecting in various revenue sources. Mr. Woodfill answered that is correct.

- E. Adoption of Ordinance No. 4706-1001 – An ordinance of the Mayor and Council of the City of Prescott, Yavapai County, Arizona, levying upon the assessed valuation of the property within the City of Prescott, subject to taxation, a certain sum upon each one hundred dollars (\$100.00) of valuation sufficient to raise the amount estimated to be required in the annual budget, less the amount estimated to be received from other sources of revenue; providing funds for various bond redemptions for the purpose of paying interest upon bonded indebtedness, and providing funds for the general municipal expenses, all for the fiscal year ending the 30th day of June, 2010.

Mr. Woodfill presented this ordinance regarding the tax levy for 2010.

Mr. Norwood stated that for ten consecutive years that the City's tax rate has declined each year. Mayor Wilson said he believed they were still the lowest taxing entity in the Quad-City area overall. Mr. Woodfill stated was true.

**COUNCILMAN ROECKER MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING;
SECONDED BY COUNCILWOMAN SUTTLES; PASSED
UNANIMOUSLY.**

Councilman Luzius asked if Mr. Woodfill had a PowerPoint that could be shown to the public to give them the benefit of what Council sees in the memo. Mr. Woodfill placed the memo on the overhead projector for citizen review.

- G. Approval of annual support and licensing agreement with Tyler Technologies for Munis software in the amount of \$79,160.00.

Finance Director Woodfill presented agenda item G approval of annual support's and licensing agreement for our software.

- H. Adoption of Resolution No. 3975-1001 - A resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of Prescott, Yavapai County, Arizona, authorizing the City of Prescott to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement ("IGA") with Yavapai County Office of Emergency Management that establishes Unified Emergency Management for the tri-city area and authorizing the Mayor and staff to take any and all steps necessary to accomplish the above.

Chief Darrell Willis presented agenda item H, stating it was an annual IGA with Yavapai County Emergency Management to establish unified emergency management.

Councilwoman Suttles asked for clarification of the cost to the City of Prescott. Chief Willis explained that the cost is based on population at \$.42/per person. This amount has remained constant for the past nine years.

- I. Lowe's Project:

- 1. Adoption of Resolution No. 3978-1005 – A resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of Prescott, Yavapai County, Arizona, authorizing the City of Prescott to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement ("IGA") with Yavapai County for building permit issuance, inspections and approvals for Yavapai County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 112-05-049, 112-09-183 and 112-09-226B (Lowe's).
- 2. Amendment to Lowe's Site Plan, Grading Plan and Landscape Plan.

Community Development Director Guice presented Item I related to the Lowe's wall project, which is located outside the City of Prescott, requiring an IGA with Yavapai County who has already approved this agreement in May of 2009. A PowerPoint presentation was made by John Jesse, of Thomas Graham Civil Design Associates to the Council regarding an amendment to the Lowe's site plan and grading plan in order for Lowe's to complete this wall repair project.

Jeff Smith with Catalyst Design is the landscape architect for the project also covered the issues related to the State Land and landscape. He said that the Soil Buttress Solution presented by Lowe's would remove a portion of the Lowe's parking lot with a soil slope from parking lot down toward property being purchased from the Homeowners' Association. He said the slope essentially allows to remove the existing wall and create a more natural looking soil slope. To accomplish this they need to relocate some of the utilities – water, gas, electric and also shift River Trail Road near outlet box culvert about 20 ' toward the north, creating more space for the soil slope to occur. In the shifting of utilities and road, at all times residents will have full access, as well as access, for emergency vehicles at all times. Shifting of the road will keep it at the same grades and elevation without changing the look and feel for the residents.

He said that once the utility work is completed, the retaining wall would be taken down. All work vehicles and traffic would be retained on the Lowe's property. Materials would be stockpiled behind the Lowe's store near the pump house, and that material would be reused to build the soil buttress. There will be some additional soils removed under the State Land Agreement to build this full soil buttress.

Councilman Luzius questioned the removal of soil from the hillside to be placed in the canyon.

Mr. Jesse stated that unfortunately at this time they would need to remove some additional material and it is actually set forth under the agreement with the State Land Department. As part of the project Lowe's would go back in and get the irrigation system operational and get the landscaping on the top portion of the hillside back up to what is expected. Lowe's is committed to making sure that happens. Additional soil that will be removed is all at the bottom of the hillside near the road.

Mayor Wilson commented that it is important for the public to know that when the wall collapsed the irrigation system was turned off because the system was thought to be part of the problem. This caused some vegetation to die, but it will be replaced.

Mr. Jesse stated that renderings will be shown to Council. While creating the buttress, they will also look at drainage underneath the existing retaining wall, as well as any rain water that falls on top of the soil buttress. A lot of concern has been with the terrace swales and drainage features on that State Land. They have agreed to go in and go through a staining process to match the soil slope and State Land colors as promised. They will be creating one horizontal drain, which runs about mid-slope and they will not be able to see this drain. It will only be visible looking down from the top. These drain features are sized to handle the

100 year storm event. Multiple engineering analyses and hydrology studies have been completed to ensure that it will be oversized for future rain events.

Councilwoman Suttles asked where Lowe's has been in the past two years. She believes that this has taken too long to get this project completed. This Council continues to negative comments and she is certain it affects the store as well.

Mr. Jesse answered that as part of construction project one of the first things to move forward is to get as much of that irrigation system operational to get as much of the summer growing season recovered as we can. He further acknowledged that it has been a long process.

Mayor Wilson commented that Lowe's has been interfacing with Public Works Director Nietupski for quite some time. Issues with the Homeowners' Association also required votes from the Association and slowed down the process. Mayor Wilson sat in on the Planning and Zoning meeting where this was presented and they had a unanimous vote.

Councilman Roecker asked City Attorney Kidd if there is any way the City can assess penalties if Lowe's does not follow through with what they say today. Mr. Kidd stated that as part of the permitting process they can assess penalties. Mr. Roecker felt that this community has suffered through this long enough.

Mr. Jesse mentioned that several of the Lowe's staff attended this meeting, including Josh Mentin who can address questions and make sure what Lowe's is promising to do. Mr. Mentin stated Lowe's is intent on interacting with the community in a positive manner.

Councilman Luzius said that he has a lot of things to say including a lot of blame to state. He states that he know that Lowe's had an opportunity to build a more substantial wall with a difference of \$75,000 in the bid and the lower priced bid was went with problems. He said he would still like to see the City get reimbursed for the sewer line that was compromised in July of 2007. He feels that the wall should have been looked at back in 2007. There were a lot of problems inside the water retention system and he felt this should have been investigated at that time. He feels that the City of Prescott and the citizens of Prescott Canyon Estates are the victims here. Mr. Luzius requested that this item be taken off the consent agenda.

Jeff Smith, Principal Landscape architect with Danalyst Design Group based out of Carlsbad, CA spoke about the landscape issues, stating there were two goals of this project, those being aesthetic and erosion control aspects.

This is a culmination of the original plan and also addressing comments from the local homeowners' association. It is thematically tied to a grassland type of appearance. He said they are trying to blend in these new manufactured slopes so they will look as part of the surrounding slopes in a five year period of time. They will not look exactly but they will mimic them as closely as possible. Most of the surrounding slopes are grassland with understory shrubs and then sporadic trees throughout. The northerly slope planting is a number of steps above that primarily because of the concerns of the views from the homeowners. They will see that the density of plant materials, both shrub and tree, are considerably higher than what would be proposed for the actual state land area.

The swale that is running along the center of the actual slope profile will both be concealed from its elevation, but also from the density of the shrubs and the grasslands that will be planted in with it. It will help mask and mimic the appearance, and it will also be stained to try and match more natural colorization of the slope.

Examples were shown of the plantings from day one. Almost all of the original tree plantings will be replaced. Plant materials specified will be indigenous to the area. They will be weaned off irrigation in time periods of two and five years. Examples were shown to all areas of the site.

Arizona Cypress will be planted along the top profile of this slope. These were added after discussion with the Homeowners' Association.

Councilwoman Lopas asked if there is a way to guarantee over time a five year plan where the first four years the plants are monitored and the last year is just to make sure everything is how it is supposed to be. Mr. Kidd said that he does not know if the current code provides for that. That can be done with an agreement where plants are maintained and kept in good condition for a period of time. Mayor Wilson asked that be brought back to Council next week.

Councilman Luzius stated that he contacted the Army Corp of Engineers over two years ago, and they reviewed the plans, but nothing else was done.

Councilman Roecker asked the landscaper if the cottonless cottonwood trees are going to grow if not irrigated. Mr. Smith answered that the cottonwoods will only be used in the riparian area down near the creek bed, so once established they will do well.

Councilman Lamerson agreed that there were multiple victims of this situation. He hopes that we have all earned something through the process.

Mr. Bob Whiting, 2572 Hilltop Road, said that currently he is the president of Prescott Canyon Estates. He has been involved with this from the very beginning as a liaison. Given that the Homeowners' Association was inadvertently involved in this, they cooperated with Lowe's, the City and had a very active board to negotiate with them. Mr. Whiting feels that they have arrived at a mutually beneficial contract that will provide a wall for the rest of eternity. He supports the wall and the board supports it. The landscaping plan goes for five years to ensure that the plan is carried out and they will supervise that closely. He encourages the City Council to approve this plan so they can get it up and fixed and back to daily living in the next year.

Mayor Wilson asked City Attorney Kidd to talk with the attorney for the homeowners' association regarding the bond.

Jim Atkinson, 1550 Plaza West Drive, general counsel for the HOA, said he wanted to address two items. The bond was part of the purchase agreement when the property was sold, which included the five year landscaping bond. Gary Kidd stated that the City would want to look at being added to the bond as a party to ensure enforcement. Mr. Atkinson addressed Councilman Luzius regarding the two-thirds vote of the homeowners to approve amendments to the documents. He said they received 90+% of the homeowners voting in favor of the sale and the solution.

Councilman Luzius stated that he understood the balloting of the homeowners association and that all the residents would share in the funds derived from that sale. He said he was more concerned about the people who live close to the wall.

Mr. Atkinson clarified that the Association is getting a significant amount of money for the .625 acres being sold, but certainly none of the money is going to any homeowners' pockets. This will not be shared or distributed to the homeowners. That cannot be done legally and that is certainly not the intent. That money will be used for capital improvements and the board will make those decisions.

Councilman Luzius stated that many of the homeowners are talking about the new roads they will build.

Mr. Robert Grabel, 3045 Timberline Road, questioned the parking requirements per square foot for commercial properties. He wondered if when the parking lot improvement is done if they would still have enough parking places per square foot. He feels that Lowe's was very arrogant to claim they are the victims. The people who really got screwed here were the taxpayers in his opinion. He agrees there should be penalties.

Tom Guice stated that the Planning and Zoning Commission discussed and looked at all aspects. The project complies with all aspects of the code. The initial information that Lowe's provided showed that the building was over-parked.

Mr. Steve Blair, Northside Drive, thanked the Mayor for his earlier comments regarding the fabulous celebration at Pioneer Park. In respect to the Lowe's situation, Mr. Blair asked if Lowe's is still operating today on a temporary certificate of occupancy and also if the performance bond in place originally was still in place.

Mr. Guice stated that there will be a performance bond as this project goes out the door. Lowe's is operating under a temporary certificate of occupancy. He stated that he suspects the bond has not been released. He will get an answer for next week's meeting, He said they are asking for additional performance bonds for all improvements.

Mr. Blair's last question was that the last landscape group promised a stain or painted piping in an earth tone to match so as not to be obtrusive, and that has not happened to date. He asked if that would be part of this project. Mayor Wilson stated that he heard as part of the presentation that Lowe's would stain the piping brown to match the surrounding earth tones.

Michael Allen Peters, 640 West Lee, asked the question about the 5-7 natural springs that have been covered up or sealed as a result of this project. He asked what has been done about uncapping those or allowing that water to be used for the animals in the area.

Mr. Jesse stated that as part of the project they were aware that there were several springs at that location. There was some groundwater that works itself up seasonally and during the rainy season the water table would rise and the spring become more active. The water is collected and brought out through an underground system. That water currently is collected and brought out through a PVC pipe and continues to flow to this day.

Mr. Margaret Filenta, 2497 Hilltop, said she is on the Board of Directors for the Homeowners' Association. She wanted to thank Tom Guice, Scott

Tkach, and Mark Nietupski who have worked with them for several years now on this issue. Her calls were always returned and they would come out and explain what was going on. They have been a great help with this project. The homeowners' main concern is that Lowe's follows through on what they say they are going to do, and water. It has to be watered.

Ms. Debra Kaukoul, 432 Shalimar Drive, stated that it is easy to place blame and she started with the City Council, Mayor and Engineering, and then Lowe's would be to blame. She feels that all parties share the blame. She stated that it is disgusting to see a hillside raped with white piping on it after years and years. She stated that this is a tourism city. This is the gateway coming into Prescott and it is shameful. The engineering department needs to give the Council details. They have asked this over and over again and for some reason this is not being done. She stated that she has written letters to the City Manager with details of what is happening to this town and nothing gets done. Engineering needs to communicate with the City Council and Council should ask for details. She asked that the Council do their job and ask for details.

This item has been pulled from the consent agenda.

1. Adoption of Resolution No. 3978-1005 – A resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of Prescott, Yavapai County, Arizona, authorizing the City of Prescott to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement (“IGA”) with Yavapai County for building permit issuance, inspections and approvals for Yavapai County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 112-05-049, 112-09-183 and 112-09-226B (Lowe's).
 2. Amendment to Lowe's Site Plan, Grading Plan and Landscape Plan.
- J. Approval of Amendment One to Contract 2009-136 with A. Miner Contracting, Inc. in the amount of \$262,688.48 for the Zone 39 Water Main and Pump Station Upgrade Project.

Public Works Director Mark Nietupski presented Item J for consideration with amendment 1 with contract # 2009-136 for the zone 39 water main and pump station water main upgrade project. This is phase one that is currently under contraction. In March 2009 Council awarded that contract. Staff recommends the contract amendment in the amount of \$262,688.48 with A. Miner Contracting to implement Davis-Bacon prevailing wage determinations. By doing this, the City Council will be able to receive federal funding in the amount of \$4 million from WIFA made available under the American Recovery Reinvestment Act. This is forgivable

principal, money that does not have to be repaid by the City of Prescott that will go toward the implementation of this project.

Mayor Wilson asked if he understood correctly that if they put out \$262,000, they get \$4 million back. Mr. Nietupski responded affirmatively.

The WIFA approved resolutions for this funding will be in May. Both of those resolutions are attached in the packet. There was also another \$2.1 million they anticipate receiving loans for the airport zone loop 12" water main project. In total, the City will receive \$6.51 million in forgivable principal for improvements to our water infrastructure.

Councilman Luzius asked about the Davis-Bacon act and the wage levels that come into play due to this be Federal wage levels. Mr. Nietupski responded that is correct. That is a requirement for prevailing wages as determined by the Federal Government for this region where the work is being informed. By implementing those wage requirements on the contractors they will have to provide certified payrolls every pay period for all the employees who are working on the project to ensure that they are being paid those prevailing wages. In return this additional money will help offset the addition costs.

Councilman Bell asked if the City gets a complete breakdown from the contractor on the amendment. Mr. Nietupski stated that A. Miner Contracting has opened their books to them to show what wages they are currently paying the employees on this project. Taking that into consideration, they may be signatory, but the wages that are being paid are not representative of those that are under the Davis-Bacon Act. To make up that offset they have this change order.

Councilman Bell asked if that information is available to the Council showing what the wages were that they bid this job under and then what the Davis-Bacon would do to that. Mr. Nietupski stated that staff did review their books. Council will get to a point of proprietary information in that regard and that may be somewhat problematic when it comes to the competitive bid process. They did look at the books to determine what they were paying and ultimately to determine what would be an appropriate increase over the term of this contract.

Councilman Luzius clarified that the wages are determined by the Federal Government and that the City of Prescott monitors the payroll activity.

Councilman Luzius asked that this item be pulled from the consent agenda.

Councilwoman Suttles stated that she understands this change order gives them more money.

Ms. Debra Koukal, 432 Shalimar Drive, stated she is fed up. They have asked several times about staging areas, runoff, how big the tank is, pump station, destroying old growth from Aubrey to Hassayampa. No one hears them. Neighbors along Aubrey have been threatened by City workers. She thinks an investigation needs to be done. She believes it will cost \$20 million to replace homes on Indian Hill because of drainage problems and runoff.

Mayor Wilson stated that they have been through this multiple times. They have multiple reports addressing every question they have had. It appears that they simply do not like the answers. Ms. Koukal stated that the Mayor was lying, and she requested specific details.

She asked where is the staging area and what the destruction that is going to happen will look like. Look at what happened to Lowe's. They will not permit another Lowe's on Indian Hill.

Mr. Kidd stated that this discussion is off the agenda item, as it needs to be reasonably related.

- K. Adoption of Ordinance No. 4708-1003 – An ordinance of the Mayor and Council of the City of Prescott, Yavapai County, Arizona, authorizing the purchase of real property belonging to David A. and Lindsey S. Kesterson and the acquisition of certain rights of way, drainage easements and temporary construction easements there from for the widening of Iron Springs Road, and authorizing the Mayor and City staff to take all necessary steps to effectuate said purchases.

Mr. Nietupski presented Item K to the Council. Councilman Luzius stated this is the first time he has seen the schematic, and asked why it was not included in the packet so the Council could see where the property is. He requested the drawing be in the packet next week.

Item K was pulled from Consent Agenda for that reason.

Councilwoman Suttles asked if this is the last completed project on Iron Springs. Mr. Nietupski stated that this is the last transaction for acquisition of right of way for this project. There are no other contemplated purchases for Iron Springs Road project. However, there is an identified issue with the retaining road at Vine and Iron Springs Road next to Watters Garden Center. In the design of that wall the engineers failed to consider the site distance. That was brought to their attention and they have been working with them to resolve their payment or correction of that deficiency. That

will come to Council at the point in time they are ready to make that correction. That is the only remaining item to be resolved with respect to the Iron Springs Road project.

Councilwoman Suttles asked if the Kesterson property purchase would be funded from the 1% sales tax. Mr. Nietupski stated that this acquisition was contemplated in the original right of way purchases for the project. This was one of the approximately 70 acquisitions. Councilwoman Suttles stated that she had hoped that the funding was accounted for in the original plan. Mr. Nietupski stated affirmatively.

Councilman Luzius questioned the issue with the retaining wall. He assumes further discussion will take place at a later date on another agenda item. Mr. Nietupski responded that is correct. Councilman Luzius would like to know whose fault that was and who would be paying when that item comes forward.

- L. Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Corollo Engineers in an amount not to exceed \$438,605.00 for the Airport Zone Production/Recovery Well Site Project.

Mr. Nietupski presented Agenda Item L, which is a professional services agreement with Corollo Engineers for the Airport Zone production recovery well site project in an amount not to exceed \$438,605.00. This is a professional services agreement, which will provide site identification and ultimate prioritization of multiple potential production recovery wells in the Airport area.

Councilman Lamerson asked if it is contemplated that they will build facilities of a larger capacity at the Airport. Mr. Nietupski stated that the Capital Improvement Plan calls for an intermediate pump station in the airport area vicinity. That station is a little North and West of MacCurdy. Councilman Lamerson asked how big the tank would be. Mr. Nietupski stated it would be about nine million gallon capacity. Councilman Lamerson asked how that would affect the demand that area currently puts on the system right now.

Mr. Nietupski stated that by having this facility at this location and looping it into their system, Corollo has looked at our water model and this, in fact, will enhance the City's service capability for the entire water system, not only in the airport area, but also into the City.

Councilman Lamerson asked if that will change the demand for two and three million gallon storage capacities in other areas. Mr. Nietupski stated it does not. There are still defined capacity needs in other areas of the

City. There are multiple water system sites where they have storage that is not adequate.

Mayor Wilson asked if his understanding was correct that this was a result of the last ADWR granted modification to their assured water supply and they are bound to do something like this to comply with the terms and conditions of that modification. Mr. Nietupski stated that was a requirement to the increase in assured water supply, which brought it up to 14,884 acre/ft.

Mayor Wilson asked if they were basically recovering in an area where they are recharging effluent. He asked if there was any concern from a water quality standpoint. Mr. Nietupski stated the City has, and will continue, to provide water that meets the quality standards specified by the Federal Government and State of Arizona. They will continue to be in compliance.

Councilman Luzius stated it is his understanding this was approved by ADWR. It is in close proximity to our recharge facility. Mr. Nietupski stated that the well would be completed within one mile of the recharge facility. Councilman Luzius asked if there was any concern about arsenic at this point. Mr. Nietupski stated the City will test occur during the initial development phase, which will determine what actions the City may have to take.

Councilman Roecker stated this is good planning and a prudent thing to do.

Councilman Bell noted that there were three proposals on this. He asked if any of them were local. Mr. Nietupski stated the one local firm was Civiltec and the other proposal was Fluid Solutions, along with Corollo.

Debra Koukal, 432 Shalimar, said that she applauds the Council for this. They have done a good job here. This is what they want to look to the future of Prescott. If they go up to 10 or 20 million gallons out there for holding, she asked if they still need to put one million gallon tanks to ruin the views of the historic areas of Indian Hill and Thumb Butte.

Mr. Nietupski stated the improvements here are a benefit systemwide, but the way the city's system is it is a multitude of separate zones. Topography requires facilities to operate in each zone. They have had multiple reservoir projects.

Ms. Koukal asked for clarification about the amount of storage holding and the sewer model. Mayor Wilson asked her to look at the Corollo Sewer

Model and the system in detail and she would see that it does not work that way.

Robert Grabel, 3045 Timberline Road, asked about arsenic and whether it is a natural occurring element. He asked if there would be a test well done first. Mayor Wilson answered that arsenic is a naturally occurring mineral and they are not sinking a test well to his knowledge. Mr. Nietupski stated that during the initial development of a well a pilot hole is drilled and sampled. That would evaluate the quality of the water.

- M. Award of contract to C&S Engineers, Inc. in the amount of \$210,325.00 (City Share \$30,325.00) for providing environmental services at the airport in accordance with the U.S. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements.

Airport Manager Ben Vardiman presented Agenda Item M. Mayor Wilson asked if this was a Federal requirement. Mr. Vardiman answered yes.

Mayor Wilson asked if this applies to the future of the airport, regional jets, etc. and Mr. Vardiman answered affirmatively.

- N. Adoption of Resolution No. 3973-1004 – A resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of Prescott, Yavapai County, Arizona, authorizing the City of Prescott to enter into an Animal Shelter Service Agreement with Yavapai Humane Society, and authorizing the Mayor and staff to take any and all steps necessary to accomplish the above.

Tom Guice presented Agenda Item N to Council. He said there have been a few changes to include paragraph 4B (page 3), where they removed language stating the contract could be automatically renewed. It would instead come back to Council in two years for renewal. Paragraph 19 states Yavapai Humane Society would make annual reports to City Council for services provided.

Mr. Guice said that Duane Adams, Executive Director of the Yavapai Humane Society had requested that this item be taken off the June 23, 2009 voting session, so it may be appropriate to allow him to speak.

Councilman Bell recused himself as his wife works for the Humane Society.

Duane Adams of the Yavapai Humane Society, 1625 Sundog Ranch Road, stated that the only thing he would like to add is that the reason they are looking at this partnership is to add benefits including a full time veterinarian and veterinary techs. They additionally will be opening the

facility more hours to give people more opportunity to reclaim their pets as the best place for the pet to be is in the home.

Councilman Lamerson questioned whether the Humane Society works with all of the animal groups in the area to ensure that as few animals are euthanized. Mr. Adams stated that they do work with any group that will work with them. They are looking at a more formalized approach to that. The animal groups meet monthly. A formal agreement has been discussed. Yavapai Humane Society has no intention of trying to solve the problem on its own. The only way to make a difference is to work together. They work with the other groups. He hopes it has been positive and that it continues to blossom.

Councilman Lamerson stated that he appreciates the work that the Humane Society has done. He supports what they are trying to do and also support the multiple groups and wants to make sure there is an open line of communication and effort to ensure that as few animals as possible are euthanized.

Councilwoman Suttles noted that Prescott Valley and Yavapai County have now contracted with YHS for animal care services. Mr. Adams stated that was correct. Councilwoman Suttles inquired as to the length of those contracts. Mr. Adams stated that those are both two year contracts.

Councilman Luzius stated that he was pleased to see that the contract was changed to two years since this has been such a contentious topic, he would like to see this item pulled from the Consent Agenda to give the other groups an opportunity to speak.

Nancy Vargo, President of United Animal Friends, 34 Maverick, Prescott, stated that when this first came up Mr. Guice stressed the 30 year relationship between the Humane Society and the City. Before Prescott Animal Shelter was built there was a similar contract. In 1982 there were two articles in the Courier interviewing Bob Stoops and Ethan Wilfinger, Board members of the Humane Society at that time. They talked about fact they had decided that the YHS no longer wanted the contract with the reason being high death rate of animals, 4,000 animals, close to combined intake of YHS currently. Logic tells them that decreasing the intake of animals decreases the kill rate, increasing the amount of intake increases the kill rate. UAF's goal is to save lives. If they're silent then they're complacent in those deaths. UAF proposes that they be allowed to run Prescott Animal Control. She said that they can save more lives.

They were told this was not put out to bid because they have to have five years experience running a shelter. Running a shelter is a business, but it is not rocket science. In the short time, they have received a commitment

from someone experienced in shelter work; they have local veterinarians who advocate that UAF be the City's choice. They have offered to do the job for \$10, 0000 less and save the City money. UAF is a very profitable and successful business. Their income is almost \$23,000 year to date ahead of where it was a year ago.

The last time they were here Mr. Adams agreed that this was not a conflict between two animal rescue groups. It is a difference in philosophy. The difference is not in experience and spending, but a difference in philosophies. The UAF is offering the new philosophy. The difference is not just in number. Two out of every ten animals will be saved. United Animal Friends cast a wider net by having so many fosters. They believe that if the City goes go with the Humane Society it should be a one-year contract. They were asked by Prescott Valley and the County to come back and speak with them again in one year. They also ask to specify that the annual report have a total number of intake and number of kills regardless of age, temperament, or health. Now is not the time to wait. Now is the time to give UAF the contract and save the lives of 800 animals a year.

Councilman Roecker stated that he has been thinking about this issue since it came up. They are not talking about an animal issue here; they are talking about a human issue. They are talking about how they deal with animals as human beings and he believed the way they need to approach this over the next couple of years is to put all of their effort behind the new spay and neuter clinic being built and reduce the number of animals taken to the shelters because of that issue. If they get together and support the clinic the numbers will go down.

Councilman Luzius asked if there is any reason they could not do a one-year contract. Mr. Guice stated that is within the Council's purview. When they looked at the facility turn over, they felt there would be a very short period before the end of the first year. Thus, they came up with the two-year contract, which held the price firm with no increase from one year to the next.

Mr. Adams stated that he is in favor of bringing back this issue and reporting to the Council.

Councilman Lamerson stated that he is confident that the Humane Society and United Animal friends can come up with a plan that will work for both parties.

Mr. Adams said that to look at this as a one year contract, they are going to be investing about \$22,000 in infrastructure and taking on that facility.

To do that on a one year basis would not make sense for them. He encouraged the Council to use this as a two-year contract.

Ms. Joan Leigh, 20 Santa Fe Court, Prescott is the Executive Director for United Animal Friends. She came here to express a concern of many of the volunteers. That is why if the contract is approved, United Animal Friends cannot continue to do what they are already doing at the Prescott Animal Control. What they do, aside from networking on the internet, is hands on. They walk animals almost every day, and they pull animals from their kennels for weekend adoption events. If they were to continue to do that, she asked if they be permitted to do that as United Animal Friends volunteers or if they would need to become Yavapai Humane Society volunteers because of liability issues.

Mayor Wilson stated that it was his understanding that could be a collaborative approach.

City Attorney Kidd said they would have to look at the specific fact. All of those issues are addressed in terms of the city's liability.

Ms. Leigh noted that with the United Animal Friends the bottom line is animals. She knows that many volunteers would want to continue to help animals, but under UAF, and not become YHS volunteers.

Mr. Adams stated that because of the liability the volunteers would have to be Yavapai Human Society volunteers. The Humane Society carries workers compensation insurance on all volunteers and is covered under that policy only if signed up as YHS volunteers.

Ms. Gail Green, United Animal Friends member, Prescott Valley, said she believes that for all interested parties the animals are the bottom line. She asked why there could not be an oversight committee with one person from each organization to review euthanasia rates, medical costs, and volunteers. Mayor Wilson stated that the Council is the oversight committee and the question is do they give that responsibility away to someone else or do they retain it. That is one of the reasons they want the annual report and are modifying the contract.

Ms. Green asked if the Council would also be reviewing the euthanasia rates at the end of that year.

Councilman Lamerson questioned Mr. Adams about volunteering and their various obligations. He asked if they still have the freedom to market as UAF volunteers if they are also volunteers for the YHS.

Mr. Adams stated that could work. They currently have volunteers working for both; they were not looking for exclusivity.

- O. Notice of Public Hearing (July 14) and consideration of a liquor license application from Robert Thomas Pike, applicant for Piper Premium Beverages, for a Series 4, Wholesaler, license for Piper Premium Beverages located at 697 Sixth Street #104.

Ms. Burke reported that next week a public hearing was scheduled for this liquor license application. The property had been posted, no public comments had been received to date and the applicant had been requested to attend next week's meeting.

- P. Approval of minutes of the Prescott City Council Public Workshop held on May 26, 2009, the Joint Special Meeting/Study Session of June 2, 2009, the Regular Voting Meeting of June 9, the Joint Special Meeting/Study Session of June 16, 2009 and the Joint Voting/Special Meeting of June 23, 2009.

No discussion.

- Q. Selection of items to be placed on the Regular Voting Meeting Agenda of July 14, 2009.

Councilman Bell stated the following items would be on the consent agenda: C, D, E, F, G, H, L, M, and P.

II. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to be discussed, the Study Session of the Prescott City Council held on July 7, 2009, adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

JACK D. WILSON, Mayor

ATTEST:

ELIZABETH A. BURKE, City Clerk